The Hon. Ricardo S. Martinez 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR23-062-RSM 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. **ORDER OF FORFEITURE** VIVIANA HUCKINS. 13 aka VIVIANA TINOCO, 14 Defendant. 15 16 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Order of 17 Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Viviana 18 19 Huckins's (aka, Viviana Tinoco's) interest in the following property: 20 A judgment for a sum of money (also known as a forfeiture money 21 judgment) in the amount of \$393,422, representing the proceeds Defendant obtained as a result of her Wire Fraud scheme, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 22 23 § 1343. The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers 24 25 and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate for the following reasons: 26 27

- The proceeds of *Wire Fraud*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);
- In her Plea Agreement, Defendant agreed to forfeit her interest in the above-identified \$393,422 forfeiture money judgment pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), as it represents the proceeds she obtained as a result of the *Wire Fraud* scheme to which she entered a guilty plea (Dkt. No. 8, ¶ 14);
- Forfeiture of this \$393,422 sum is separate and distinct from any restitution ordered in this case. The United States has agreed, however, that it will request that the Attorney General apply any amounts it collects toward satisfaction of the \$393,422 forfeiture money judgment to the restitution that is ordered and that any amount Defendant pays toward restitution will be credited against this \$393,422 forfeiture money judgment (Dkt. No. 8, ¶ 14); and
- This \$393,422 forfeiture money judgment is personal to Defendant; pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P.") 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and Defendant's Plea Agreement, Defendant's interest in the above-identified \$393,422 judgment for a sum of money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;
- 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A)–(B), this Order will become final as to Defendant at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and it will be included in the judgment;

1	3. No right, title, or interest in the identified \$393,422 forfeiture money
2	judgment exists in any party other than the United States;
3	4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this \$393,422
4	forfeiture money judgment, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend
5	this Order, at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed
6	\$393,422; and
7	5. The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
8	this Order, as necessary.
9	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	
12	DATED this 24 th day of July, 2023.
13	
14	
15	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	
18	Presented by:
19	s/ Jehiel I. Baer
20	JEHIEL I. BAER
21	Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office
22	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
23	Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 553-2242
24	Fax: (206) 553-6934
25	<u>Jehiel.Baer@usdoj.gov</u>
26	
27	